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## Outline

(1) Krylov Subspace and Arnoldi Iterations (NLA§32-33)
(2) Lanczos Iterations (NLA§36)

## Krylov Subspace Methods

- Given $A$ and $b$, Krylov subspace

$$
\left\{b, A b, A^{2} b, \ldots, A^{k-1} b\right\}
$$

|  | linear systems | eigenvalue problems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hermitian | CG | Lanczos |
| Nonhermitian | GMRES, BiCG, etc. | Arnoldi |

- CG, GMRES etc. are Krylov subspace methods for solving sparse linear systems (later)
- Lanczos and Arnoldi iterations are Krylov subspace methods for reduction to Hessenberg form


## Review: Reduction to Hessenberg Form

- General A: First convert to upper-Hessenberg form, then to upper triangular
- Hermitian A: First convert to tridiagonal form, then to diagonal

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\
\times & \times & \times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{\text { Phase 1 }}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\times & \times & & & \\
\times & \times & \times & & \\
& \times & \times & \times & \\
& & \times & \times & \times \\
& & \times & \times
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{\text { Phase } 2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\times & \times & \times
\end{array}\right]
$$

- In general, phase 1 is direct and requires $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ flops


## Arnoldi Iteration

- The Arnoldi iteration reduces a general, nonsymmetric matrix $A$ to Hessenberg form by similarity transformation $A=Q H Q^{*}$
- It is analogous to Gram-Schmidt-style iteration instead of Householder reflections
- Let $Q_{k}=\left[q_{1}\left|q_{2}\right| \cdot \mid q_{k}\right]$ be $n \times k$ matrix with first $k$ columns of $Q$ and $\tilde{H}_{k}$ be $(k+1) \times k$ upper-left section of $H$, i.e., $\tilde{H}_{k}=H_{1: k+1,1: k}$
- Consider first $k$ columns of $A Q=Q H$, or $A Q_{k}=Q H_{:, 1: k}=Q_{k+1} \tilde{H}_{k}$

- Question: How do we choose $q_{1}$ ?


## Arnoldi Algorithm

- Start with a random $q_{1}$, then determine $q_{2}$ and $\tilde{H}_{1}$, and so on
- The $k$ th columns of $A Q_{k}=Q_{k+1} \tilde{H}_{k}$ can be written as

$$
A q_{k}=h_{1 k} q_{1}+\cdots+h_{k k} q_{k}+h_{k+1, k} q_{k+1}
$$

where $h_{i k}=q_{i}^{*} A q_{k}$.
Algorithm: Arnoldi Iteration
given random nonzero $b$, let $q_{1}=b /\|b\|$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$
$v=A q_{k}$
for $j=1$ to $k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{j k}=q_{j}^{*} v \\
& v=v-h_{j k} q_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h_{k+1, k}=\|v\|
$$

$$
q_{k+1}=v / h_{k+1, k}
$$

- Question: What if $q_{1}$ happens to be an eigenvector?


## QR Factorization of Krylov Matrix

- The vector $q_{j}$ from Arnoldi are orthonormal bases of successive Krylov subspaces

$$
\mathcal{K}_{k}=\left\langle b, A b, \ldots, A^{k-1} b\right\rangle=\left\langle q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

assuming $h_{k+1, k} \neq 0$

- $Q_{k}$ is reduced QR factorization $K_{k}=Q_{k} R_{k}$ of Krylov matrix

$$
K_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l|l} 
& & & \\
& & A b & \cdots \\
& & A^{k-1} b
\end{array}\right]
$$

- However, $K_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ are not formed explicitly; forming them explicitly would be unstable and can suffer from overflow and underflow


## Projection onto Krylov Subspaces

- Arnoldi process computes projections of $A$ onto successive Krylov subspaces

$$
H_{k}=Q_{k}^{*} A Q_{k}
$$

because $A Q_{k}=Q_{k+1} \tilde{H}_{k}, \tilde{H}_{k}=Q_{k+1}^{*} A Q_{k}$, and $H_{k}=\tilde{H}_{1: k, 1: k}$

- $H_{k}$ can be interpreted as orthogonal projection of $A$ onto $\mathcal{K}_{k}$ in the basis $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$, restricting mapping $A: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ to $H_{k}: \mathcal{K}_{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{k}$. This kind of projection is known as Rayleigh-Ritz procedure
- Arnoldi iteration is useful as
(1) basis for iterative algorithms (such as GMRES, to be discussed later)
(2) technique for estimating eigenvalues of nonhermitian matrices
- Caution: eigenvalues of nonmormal matrices may have little to do with physical system, since eigenvalues of such equations are ill-conditioned. When such problems arise, the original problem is mostly likely posed improperly


## Estimating Eigenvalues by Arnoldi Iteration

- Diagonal entries of $H_{k}$ are Rayleigh quotients of $A$ w.r.t. vectors $q_{i}$
- $H_{k}$ is "generalized Rayleigh quotient" w.r.t $Q_{k}$, whose eigenvalues $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}$ are called Arnoldi estimates or Ritz values w.r.t. $\mathcal{K}_{k}$ of $A$
- Ritz vectors corresponds to $\theta_{j}$ are $Q_{k} y_{j}$, where $H_{k} y_{j}=\theta_{j} y_{j}$
- To use Arnoldi iteration to estimate eigenvalues, compute eigenvalues of $H_{k}$ at $k$ th step
- When $k=n$, Ritz values are eigenvalues
- In general, $k \ll n$, so we can estimate only a few eigenvalues
- Which eigenvalues? Typically, it finds extreme eigenvalues first
- In many applications, extreme eigenvalues are of main interests
- Stability analysis typically requires estimating spectral radius
- Principal component analysis requires estimating largest eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of $A^{T} A$


## Invariance Properties of Arnoldi Iteration

## Theorem

Let the Arnoldi iteration be applied to matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ as described above. Translation invariance. If $A$ is changed to $A+\sigma l$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, and $b$ is unchanged, then Ritz values $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}$ change to $\left\{\theta_{j}+\sigma\right\}$.
Scale invariance. If $A$ is changed to $\sigma A$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, and $b$ is unchanged, then $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}$ change to $\left\{\sigma \theta_{j}\right\}$.
Invariance under unitary similarity transformation. If $A$ is changed to $U A U^{*}$ for some unitary matrix $U$, and $b$ is changed to $U b$, then $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}$ do not change.

In all three cases, the Ritz vectors, namely $Q_{k} y_{k}$ corresponding to eigenvectors $y_{j}$ of $H_{k}$ do not change under indicated transformation.

## Convergence of Arnoldi Iteration

- If $A$ has $n$ distinct eigenvalues, Arnoldi iteration finds them all in $n$ steps
- Under certain circumstances, convergence of some Arnoldi estimates is geometric (i.e., linear), and it accelerates in later iterations
- However, these matters are not yet fully understood


Example convergence of extreme Arnoldi eigenvalue estimation.

## Outline

## (1) Krylov Subspace and Arnoldi Iterations (NLA§32-33)

(2) Lanczos Iterations (NLA§36)

## Lanczos Iteration for Symmetric Matrices

- For symmetric $A, \tilde{H}_{k}$ and $H_{k}$ in Arnoldi iteration are tridiagonal
- We denote them by $\tilde{T}_{k}$ and $T_{k}$, respectively. Let $\alpha_{k}=h_{k k}$ and $\beta_{k}=h_{k+1, k}=h_{k, k+1}$
- $A Q_{k}=Q_{k+1} \tilde{H}_{k}$ can then be written as three-term recurrence

$$
A q_{k}=\beta_{k-1} q_{k-1}+\alpha_{k} q_{k}+\beta_{k} q_{k+1}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ are diagonal entries and $\beta_{i}$ are sub-diagonal entries of $\tilde{T}_{k}$

$$
T_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} & & & \\
\beta_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} & & \\
& \beta_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_{k-1} \\
& & & \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Arnoldi iteration for symmetric matrices is known as Lanczos iteration


## Algorithm of Lanczos Iteration

Algorithm: Lanczos Iteration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}=0, q_{0}=0 \\
& \text { given random } b \text {, let } q_{1}=b /\|b\| \\
& \text { for } k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
& \quad v=A q_{k} \\
& \quad \alpha_{k}=q_{k} v \\
& \quad v=v-\beta_{k-1} q_{k-1}-\alpha_{k} q_{k} \\
& \quad \beta_{k}=\|v\| \\
& \quad q_{k+1}=v / \beta_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Each step consists of matrix-vector multiplication, an inner product, and a couple of vector operations
- This is particularly efficient for sparse matrices. In practice, Lanczos iteration is used to compute eigenvalues of large symmetric matrices
- Like Arnoldi iteration, Lanczos iteration is useful as
(1) basis for other iterative algorithms (such as conjugate gradient)
(2) technique for estimating eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices


## Estimating Eigenvalues by Lanczos Iterations

- For symmetric matrices with evenly spaced eigenvalues, Ritz values tend to first convert to extreme eigenvalue.


Ritz values for first 20 steps for Lanczos iteration applied to example $203 \times 203$ matrix. Convergence of extreme eigenvalues is geometric.

## Effect of Rounding Errors

- Rounding errors have complex effects on Lanczos iteration and all iterations based on three-term recurrence
- Rounding errors cause loss of orthogonality of $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k}$
- In Arnoldi iteration, vectors $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k}$ are enforced to be orthogonal by explicit modified Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization, which suffer some but not as much loss of orthogonality
- In Lanczos iteration, orthogonality of $q_{j}, q_{j-1}$ and $q_{j-2}$ are "enforced", but orthogonality of $q_{j}$ with $q_{j-3}, \ldots, q_{1}$ are "automatic", based on mathematical identities
- In practice, such mathematical identities are not accurately preserved in the presence of rounding errors
- In practice, periodic re-orthogonalization of $Q_{k}$ is sometimes used to alleviate effect of rounding errors


## Rounding Errors and Ghost Eigenvalues

- With rounding errors, Lanczos iteration can suffer from loss of orthogonality and can in turn lead to spurious "ghost" eigenvalues


Continuation to 120 steps of Lanczos iteration. Numbers indicate multiplicities of Ritz values. 4 "ghost" copies of 3.0 and 2 "ghost" copies of 2.5 appear.

## Explanation of Ghost Eigenvalues

- Intuitive explanation of ghost eigenvalues
- Convergence of Ritz value annihilates corresponding eigenvector components in the vector being operated upon
- With rounding errors, random noise re-introduce and excite those components again
- We cannot trust multiplicities of Ritz values as those of eigenvalues
- Nevertheless, Lanczos iteration can still be very useful in practice
- E.g., in PCA for dimension reduction in data analysis, one needs to find leading singular values and corresponding singular vectors of $A$.
- One standard approach is to apply Lanczos iteration to $A^{T} A$ or $A A^{T}$ without forming the product explicitly, and then use Ritz vectors to approximate singular vectors

