

AMS526: Numerical Analysis I (Numerical Linear Algebra)

Lecture 4: Matrix Norms

Xiangmin Jiao

SUNY Stony Brook

September 11, 2008

Review

- Orthogonal projection matrix
- Let Q be composed of column vectors $\{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n\}$.
 - ▶ Then $QQ^* = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_i^*)$ is an orthogonal projection matrix.
 - ▶ In addition, $I - QQ^*$ is also an orthogonal projection matrix.
- Unitary matrix: $UU^* = U^*U = I$.
- Vector norm, p -norm, weighted p -norm

Outline

1 Matrix Norms

General Matrix Norms

- One can view $m \times n$ matrices as mn -dimensional vectors and obtain *general matrix norms*, which satisfy (for $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$)

$$(1) \|\mathbf{A}\| \geq 0, \text{ and } \|\mathbf{A}\| = 0 \text{ only if } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0},$$

$$(2) \|\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| + \|\mathbf{B}\|,$$

$$(3) \|\alpha\mathbf{A}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{A}\|.$$

General Matrix Norms

- One can view $m \times n$ matrices as mn -dimensional vectors and obtain *general matrix norms*, which satisfy (for $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$)

$$(1) \|\mathbf{A}\| \geq 0, \text{ and } \|\mathbf{A}\| = 0 \text{ only if } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0},$$

$$(2) \|\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| + \|\mathbf{B}\|,$$

$$(3) \|\alpha \mathbf{A}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{A}\|.$$

- One useful norm is Frobenius norm (a.k.a. Hilbert-Schmidt norm)

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \|\mathbf{a}_j\|_2^2}$$

i.e., 2-norm of mn -vector

- Furthermore,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})}$$

where $\text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$ denotes trace of \mathbf{B} , the sum of its diagonal entries

Matrix Norms Induced by Vector Norms

- Viewing $m \times n$ matrix as mn -vectors is not always useful, as operations involving $m \times n$ matrices do not behave this way
- *Induced matrix norms* capture such behavior

Matrix Norms Induced by Vector Norms

- Viewing $m \times n$ matrix as mn -vectors is not always useful, as operations involving $m \times n$ matrices do not behave this way
- *Induced matrix norms* capture such behavior

Definition

Given vector norms $\|\cdot\|_{(n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(m)}$ on domain and range of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively, the induced matrix norm $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{(m,n)}$ is the smallest number $C \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the following inequality holds for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{(m)} \leq C\|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)}.$$

Matrix Norms Induced by Vector Norms

- Viewing $m \times n$ matrix as mn -vectors is not always useful, as operations involving $m \times n$ matrices do not behave this way
- *Induced matrix norms* capture such behavior

Definition

Given vector norms $\|\cdot\|_{(n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(m)}$ on domain and range of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively, the induced matrix norm $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{(m,n)}$ is the smallest number $C \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the following inequality holds for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)} \leq C\|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)}.$$

- In other words, it is supremum of ratio $\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)}/\|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)}$ for all nonzero vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$
- Maximum factor by which \mathbf{A} can “stretch” $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{(m,n)} = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)}/\|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)} = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)}=1} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)}.$$

Matrix Norms Induced by Vector Norms

- Viewing $m \times n$ matrix as mn -vectors is not always useful, as operations involving $m \times n$ matrices do not behave this way
- *Induced matrix norms* capture such behavior

Definition

Given vector norms $\|\cdot\|_{(n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(m)}$ on domain and range of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively, the induced matrix norm $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{(m,n)}$ is the smallest number $C \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the following inequality holds for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)} \leq C\|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)}.$$

- In other words, it is supremum of ratio $\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)}/\|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)}$ for all nonzero vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$
- Maximum factor by which \mathbf{A} can “stretch” $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{(m,n)} = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)}/\|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)} = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)}=1} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{(m)}.$$

- Is vector norm consistent with matrix norm of $m \times 1$ -matrix?

1-norm

- By definition

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_1=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_1$$

1-norm

- By definition

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_1=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_1$$

- What is it equal to?

1-norm

- By definition

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_1=1} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1$$

- What is it equal to?
 - ▶ Maximum of 1-norm of column vectors of \mathbf{A}
 - ▶ “maximum column sum” of \mathbf{A} is oversimplified in the textbook

1-norm

- By definition

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_1=1} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1$$

- What is it equal to?
 - ▶ Maximum of 1-norm of column vectors of \mathbf{A}
 - ▶ “maximum column sum” of \mathbf{A} is oversimplified in the textbook
- To show it, note that for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = 1$

$$\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbf{a}_j \right\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \|\mathbf{a}_j\|_1 \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\mathbf{a}_j\|_1 \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$$

- Let $k = \arg \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\mathbf{a}_j\|_1$, then $\|\mathbf{Ae}_k\|_1 = \|\mathbf{a}_k\|_1$, so $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\mathbf{a}_j\|_1$ is tight upper bound

∞ -norm

- By definition

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{\infty}$$

- What is $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty}$ equal to?

- By definition

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{\infty}$$

- What is $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty}$ equal to?
 - ▶ Maximum of 1-norm of column vectors of \mathbf{A}^*
- To show it, note that for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1$

$$\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |\mathbf{a}_i^* \mathbf{x}| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|\mathbf{a}_i^*\|_1 \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}$$

where \mathbf{a}_i^* denotes i th row vector of \mathbf{A}

- Furthermore, $\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|\mathbf{a}_i^*\|_1$ is a tight bound.
 - ▶ Which vector can we choose to reach the bound?

2-norm

- What is 2-norm of a matrix?

2-norm

- What is 2-norm of a matrix?
- Answer: Its largest singular value.
- We will talk more about singular-value decomposition

2-norm

- What is 2-norm of a matrix?
- Answer: Its largest singular value.
- We will talk more about singular-value decomposition
- What is 2-norm of a diagonal matrix?

Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder Inequalities

- Hölder inequality: Let p and q satisfy $1/p + 1/q = 1$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, then

$$|\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{y}| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \|\mathbf{y}\|_q$$

- Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{y}| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$$

- Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is a special case of Hölder inequality

Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder Inequalities

- Hölder inequality: Let p and q satisfy $1/p + 1/q = 1$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, then

$$|\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{y}| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \|\mathbf{y}\|_q$$

- Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{y}| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$$

- Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is a special case of Hölder inequality
- Example: What is 2-norm of rank-one matrix? Hint: Use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Bounding Matrix-Matrix Multiplication

- Let \mathbf{A} be an $l \times m$ matrix and \mathbf{B} an $m \times n$ matrix, then for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_{(l,n)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{(m,n)}$$

Bounding Matrix-Matrix Multiplication

- Let \mathbf{A} be an $l \times m$ matrix and \mathbf{B} an $m \times n$ matrix, then for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_{(l,n)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{(m,n)}$$

- To show it, note

$$\|\mathbf{ABx}\|_{(l)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{Bx}\|_{(m)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{(m,n)} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)},$$

Bounding Matrix-Matrix Multiplication

- Let \mathbf{A} be an $l \times m$ matrix and \mathbf{B} an $m \times n$ matrix, then for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_{(l,n)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{(m,n)}$$

- To show it, note

$$\|\mathbf{ABx}\|_{(l)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{Bx}\|_{(m)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{(m,n)} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)},$$

- In general, this inequality is not an equality
- In particular, $\|\mathbf{A}^n\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|^n$ but $\|\mathbf{A}^n\| \neq \|\mathbf{A}\|^n$ in general for $n \geq 2$

Bounding Matrix-Matrix Multiplication

- Let \mathbf{A} be an $l \times m$ matrix and \mathbf{B} an $m \times n$ matrix, then for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_{(l,n)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{(m,n)}$$

- To show it, note

$$\|\mathbf{ABx}\|_{(l)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{Bx}\|_{(m)} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{(l,m)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{(m,n)} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{(n)},$$

- In general, this inequality is not an equality
- In particular, $\|\mathbf{A}^n\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|^n$ but $\|\mathbf{A}^n\| \neq \|\mathbf{A}\|^n$ in general for $n \geq 2$
- Also, note that

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_F \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{B}\|_F$$

because

$$\|\mathbf{AB}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |\mathbf{a}_i^* \mathbf{b}_j|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (\|\mathbf{a}_i^*\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}_j\|_2)^2 = \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \|\mathbf{B}\|_F^2$$